

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,871	05/09/2001	C. Frank Bennett	ISPH-0543	4942
36324	7590 01/16/2004		EXAMINER	
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN			EPPS FORD, JANET L	
6300 SEARS TOWER 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357			1635	

DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/851,871	BENNETT ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Janet L. Epps-Ford, Ph.D.	1635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of ti (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Ci	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mailing	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe		see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	* ·					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0. Other:		Ÿ÷				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, however they are not persuasive. Applicants traverse the rejection of claims 1, 6 and 8 under 35 USC 102(e) and the rejection of claims 1-14 under 35 USC 103(a), on the grounds that the disclosure of the Stinchcomb et al. is not enabling. However, Applicant's arguments do not take the place of evidence that at the time of filing the prior art provided significant guidance for the skilled artisan to design antisense oligonucleotides targeting mRNA encoding B7-1. Moreover, contrary to Applicant's assertions,one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to design these antisense compounds because Stinchcomb expressly teaches the design of antisense oligonucleotides targeting B7-1 mRNA. Furthermore, the prior art provides clear suggestion for treating skin disorders by topical application of nucleic acid inhibitors of B7-1 directly (i.e. topically) to the skin of an individual. Absent evidence to the contrary, Applicant's have not provided sufficient evidence that the skilled artisan would have been motivated to design the antisense compounds according to the present invention.

KAREN A. LACOURCIERE, PALD PRIMARY EXAMINER